

The Analytic Strong Multiplicity One Theorem for $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$

Wang Yonghui
Department of Mathematics
Capital Normal University
Beijing 100037
P.R. China

arithwsun@gmail.com or yhwang@mail.cnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Let $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$ be two irreducible, automorphic, cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$. Using the logarithmic zero-free region of Rankin-Selberg L -function, Moreno established the analytic strong multiplicity one theorem if at least one of them is self-contragredient, i.e. π and π' will be equal if they have finitely many same local components π_v, π'_v , for which the norm of places are bounded polynomially by the analytic conductor of these cuspidal representations. Without the assumption of the self-contragredient for π, π' , Brumley generalized this theorem by a different method, which can be seen as an invariant of Rankin-Selberg method. In this paper, influenced by Landau's smooth method of Perron formula, we improved the degree of Brumley's polynomial bound to be $4m + \varepsilon$.

1 Introduction

For K an algebraic number field, let π, π' be two cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$, with restricted tensor product decompositions $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$. Proved by Casselman [2], Shalika [20], Piatetski-Shapiro [13], Gelfand and Kazhdan [5], Jacquet and Shalika [7], the strong multiplicity one theorem states that if $\pi_v \cong \pi'_v$ for all but finitely many places v , then $\pi \cong \pi'$.

By exploiting the logarithmic zero-free region of the Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$, Moreno [11] proved the following analytic form of strong multiplicity one theorem:

Theorem 1 (Moreno) *Let $\mathcal{A}'_n(Q)$ denote the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_K)$ with analytic conductor less than Q . Suppose $\pi =$*

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11F70, 11S40, 11F67.

⁰The author is supported by China NSF Grant #10601034 and Morning Side center.

$\otimes \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$ are in $\mathcal{A}'_n(Q)$, $n \geq 2$. Then there exist positive constants C and A_1 such that, if $\pi_v \cong \pi'_v$ for all finite places v with norm $N(v) \leq Cf(Q)$, then $\pi \cong \pi'$. Here $f(Q) = Q^{A_1}$ for $n = 2$ and $f(Q) = e^{A_1(\log Q)^2}$ for $n \geq 3$.

The bound of exponential type in the case of $n \geq 3$ is rather poor. The reason is: until now, we are only able to detect the logarithmic zero-free region for the Rankin-Selberg L -function $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ while at least one of π , π' is self-contragredient. This can be done by applying de la Vallée Poussin method to the corresponding positive L -function of the following isobaric automorphic representations [21]:

$$\Pi = \pi \boxplus (\pi \otimes \alpha^{it}) \boxplus (\pi \otimes \alpha^{-it}) \boxplus \pi' \boxplus (\pi' \otimes \alpha^{it}) \boxplus (\pi' \otimes \alpha^{-it}),$$

if π , $\tilde{\pi}'$ are both self-contragredient (see Sarnak [15]), and to

$$\Pi = \pi \boxplus (\pi' \otimes \alpha^{-it}) \boxplus (\tilde{\pi}' \otimes \alpha^{it}),$$

if π is self-contragredient and $\tilde{\pi}'$ is not. Hence, if at least one of π , $\tilde{\pi}'$ is self-contragredient, by the same argument of Moreno (see [11, p. 183, footnote]), $f(Q) = Q^{A_1}$ also holds for $n \geq 3$.

If both π , $\tilde{\pi}'$ are not self-contragredient for $n \geq 3$, it is only known that $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is nonvanishing for $\text{Re } s \geq 1$. And then only the exponential bound can be obtained under Moreno's method. Recently, Brumley [1] used a different method without applying the derivative of logarithmic of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ as Moreno did, and obtained that

Theorem 2 (Brumley) *Suppose $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$ are in $\mathcal{A}'_n(Q)$, $n \geq 1$. Denote by S the set of all finite places of K at which either π_v or π'_v is ramified. There exists positive constant $C = C(n)$ and $A_1 = A_1(n)$ such that, if $\pi_v \cong \pi'_v$ for all finite places $v \notin S$ with norm $N(v) \leq CQ^{A_1}$, then $\pi \cong \pi'$.*

In this paper, we reorganize Brumley's method and use a appropriate Perron formula, which can be traced back to Landau's method [8] on the L -functions with multiple gamma factors. We improve Brumley's result as following:

Theorem 3 *Let $\mathcal{A}_N(Q)$ denote the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations on $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$ ($1 \leq m \leq N$) with analytic conductor less than Q . If $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$ and $\pi' = \otimes \pi'_v$ are in $\mathcal{A}_N(Q)$. Then there exists a constant $C(\varepsilon)$ depending only on $\varepsilon > 0$, K and N such that, If $\pi_v \cong \pi'_v$ for all finite places with norm $N(v) < C(\varepsilon)Q^{4N+\varepsilon}$, then $\pi \cong \pi'$.*

We notice that, applying the Riemann-Roch theorem on the modular curve $X_0(M)$, Murty [12] showed that when π and π' correspond to holomorphic modular forms of level M and even weight k , then $\pi \cong \pi'$ if $\pi_p \cong \pi'_p$ for all $p < CkM \log \log M$, which means $A_1(N) = 1 + \varepsilon$ in that case.

2 Preliminaries on Automorphic L -function

If π is an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$, K is the algebraic number field of degree $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = l = r_1 + 2r_2$, d_K its discriminant (in the standard notation). Then (see [3, p. 47]) at every finite place v where π_v is unramified we have associated a semisimple conjugacy class, say

$$A_{\pi,v} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{\pi,v}(1) & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \alpha_{\pi,v}(m) \end{pmatrix},$$

so that the local L -factors are defined by

$$L(s, \pi_v) = \det(I - q_v^{-s} A_{\pi,v})^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^m (1 - \alpha_{\pi,v}(i) q_v^{-s})^{-1} \quad (1)$$

where $q_v = |\bar{\omega}_v|_v^{-1} = N(\mathfrak{p}_v) = N(v)$ is the module of K_v . For the other finite places v , it is possible to write the local factors at ramified places in the form of (1) with the convention that some of the $\alpha_{\pi,v}(i)$'s can be zero. For the infinite places, we write as [14, (2.2)]

$$L(s, \pi_\infty) = \pi^{-lms/2} \prod_{i=1}^{lm} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + b_\pi(i)}{2}\right),$$

Denote by

$$L(s, \pi_f) = \prod_{v < \infty} L(s, \pi_v) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s \gg 1,$$

$$L(s, \pi) = L(s, \pi_\infty) L(s, \pi_f) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s \gg 1,$$

in which the absolute convergence can be provided by the following work of Luo-Rudnick-Sarnak [9],

$$\left| \log_{N(v)} |\alpha_{\pi,v}(i)| \right|, \operatorname{Re} b_\pi(i) \leq 1/2 - 1/(n^2 + 1). \quad (2)$$

$L(s, \pi)$ has an analytic continuation and entire everywhere, we also have the functional equation that

$$L(s, \pi) = W_\pi q_\pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s} L(1-s, \tilde{\pi})$$

where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the contragredient of π , W_π is a complex constant, and $q_\pi > 0$ is called “arithmetic conductor”.

For π and π' are automorphic irreducible cuspidal representations of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$ and $GL_{m'}(\mathbb{A}_K)$ respectively. The associated Rankin-Selberg L -function is given as an Euler product of degree mm'

$$L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = \prod_v L_v(s, \pi_v \times \tilde{\pi}'_v) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s \gg 1.$$

We can write it as

$$\begin{aligned}
L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') &= L(s, \pi_\infty \times \tilde{\pi}'_\infty) L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f), \\
L(s, \pi_\infty \times \tilde{\pi}'_\infty) &= \pi^{-mm'ls/2} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} \Gamma\left(\frac{s + b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i)}{2}\right), \\
L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f) &= \prod_{v < \infty} L_v(s, \pi_v \times \tilde{\pi}'_v) \text{ for } \operatorname{Re} s >> 1.
\end{aligned}$$

And

$$L(s, \pi_v \times \tilde{\pi}'_v) = \prod_{i=1}^m \prod_{j=1}^{m'} (1 - \alpha_{\pi, v}(i) \bar{\alpha}_{\pi', v}(j) q_v^{-s})^{-1} \quad (3)$$

are finite local L -factors for unramified finite places v (i.e. π_v and π'_v are both unramified). One can consult [3, p. 36] on the discussions for the other ramified cases. Anyway, $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ defines a Dirichlet series:

$$L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n) n^{-s}. \quad (4)$$

The theory of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$, $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ have been developed by Jacquet, Piatetski-Shapiro, Shalika, Shahidi, Mœglin and Waldspurger:

RS1 The Euler product for $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ (Jacquet and Shalika [7]). $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ and $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ are non-zero in $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 1$. (Shahidi [16])

RS2 The complete L -function $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ has an analytic continuation to the entire complex plane and satisfies a functional equation

$$L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}') = W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{\frac{1}{2}-s} L(1-s, \tilde{\pi} \times \pi')$$

where the “root number” $W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}$ is a complex constant of modulus 1 and $q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} = d_K^{mm'} N_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} > 0$ is the “arithmetic conductor”. (Shahidi [16], [17], [18], [19]).

RS3 Denote by $\alpha(g) = |\det(g)|$. When $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{it}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is holomorphic. When $m = m'$ and $\pi' \cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for some $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, the only poles of $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ are simple poles at $s = i\tau_0$ and $1 + i\tau_0$. (Jacquet and Shalika [7] and Mœglin and Waldspurger [10]).

RS4 $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ is meromorphic of order one away from its poles, and bounded in the vertical strips (see [4]).

Denote by the “*analytic conductor*” for $L(s, \pi)$ and $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$ as

$$C(\pi) = q_\pi \prod_{i=1}^{ml} (1 + |b_\pi(i)|)$$

$$C(\pi, \pi') = q_{\pi \times \pi'} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} (1 + |b_{\pi \times \pi'}(i)|).$$

Note that the definition here differs only slightly from that given in Iwaniec and Sarnak’s article [6], in which we ignore the imaginary parameter. Ramakrishnan and S. Wang named such definition as “thickened conductor” and proved that [14, (2.8)]

$$C(\pi)^{-m'} C(\pi')^{-m} \ll C(\pi, \pi') \ll C(\pi)^{m'} C(\pi')^m. \quad (5)$$

3 Proof of Theorem 3

In the following proof, without loss of generality, we can assume that π, π' is not twisted-equivalent, i.e. $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for any $\tau_0 \neq 0$. Since if $\pi' \cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ with $\tau_0 \neq 0$, then $\pi_v \not\cong \pi'_v$ for many finite places v . We can write the finite part of the Rankin-Selberg L -function as an Dirichlet series:

$$L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f) = \prod_{v < \infty} L_v(s, \pi_v \times \tilde{\pi}'_v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n)}{n^s}, \quad \text{Re } s > 1.$$

Denote by

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n) w\left(\frac{n}{x}\right),$$

where $w(x)$ is a nonnegative real-value function of C_c^∞ with compact support in $[0, 3]$ and satisfies

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } x \leq 0 \text{ or } x \geq 3, \\ e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, & \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1, \\ e^{-\frac{1}{3-x}}, & \text{for } 2 < x < 3. \end{cases}$$

Hence for arbitrary integer k , the derivative $w^{(k)}(x)$ is also exponential decay as $x \rightarrow 0, 3$.

We first need a Lemma of Brumley [1] to estimate the lower bound of $S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}')$ for $\pi' = \pi$. Here, we call $a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n)$ unramified coefficients if it is only determined by the product of unramified $L(s, \pi_v \times \tilde{\pi}'_v)$, (see (3)).

Lemma 1 (Brumley) *If π is an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$, $m \geq 1$. $\mathfrak{p}$ denotes any unramified places (prime ideals), then the unramified coefficients for its Rankin-Selberg L -function*

$$a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(\mathfrak{p}^m) \geq 1.$$

Proof. See Lemma 1 of Brumley [1]. ■

Hence, we can obtain that

Proposition 4 *If π is an automorphic irreducible cuspidal representation of $GL_m(\mathbb{A}_K)$, then there exist a positive constant $c = c(K)$ only dependent of the number field K , such that*

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}) \geq \frac{c}{2e^2} \frac{x^{1/m}}{\log x} \quad (6)$$

for $x \geq (\log Q)^{3m}$.

Proof. As the coefficients $a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n)$ are nonnegative, the sum $S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi})$ can be truncated to give

$$\begin{aligned} S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n) w\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \geq \sum_{x/2 \leq n \leq x} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n) e^{-x/n} \\ &\geq e^{-2} \sum_{x/2 \leq n \leq x} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(n) \geq e^{-2} \sum_{x/2 \leq N\mathfrak{p}^m \leq x} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}}(\mathfrak{p}^m) \\ &\geq e^{-2} \sum_{\substack{(x/2)^{1/m} \leq N\mathfrak{p} \leq x^{1/m} \\ \mathfrak{p} \text{ is unramified}}} 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the prime ideals number theorem, and $\#\{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \text{ is ramified}\} \leq \log Q$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}) &\geq e^{-2} \#\left\{\mathfrak{p} \mid (x/2)^{1/m} \leq N\mathfrak{p} \leq x^{1/m}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ is unramified}\right\} \\ &\geq e^{-2} \#\left\{\mathfrak{p} \mid (x/2)^{1/m} \leq N\mathfrak{p} \leq x^{1/m}\right\} \\ &\quad - e^{-2} \#\left\{\mathfrak{p} \mid (x/2)^{1/m} \leq N\mathfrak{p} \leq x^{1/m}, \mathfrak{p} \text{ is ramified}\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2e^2} \#\left\{\mathfrak{p} \mid (x/2)^{1/m} \leq N\mathfrak{p} \leq x^{1/m}\right\} \\ &\geq \frac{c}{2e^2} \frac{x^{1/m}}{\log x}, \end{aligned}$$

for $x \geq (\log Q)^{3m}$ and some positive constant $c = c(K)$. ■

Second, let $\mathcal{A}_N(Q)$ denote the set of all cuspidal automorphic representations on $GL_m(\mathbb{A})$ ($m \leq N$) with analytic conductor less than Q . We assume that $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{A}_N(Q)$ and $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for any $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $L(s, \pi \times \tilde{\pi}')$ and $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ are both holomorphic functions. We will provide an upper bound for $S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}')$ by the classical analytic argument, which originates from Landau's method [8].

Let

$$\begin{aligned}
G(s) &:= \frac{L(1-s, \tilde{\pi}_\infty \times \pi'_\infty)}{L(s, \pi_\infty \times \tilde{\pi}'_\infty)} \\
&= \pi^{-\frac{mm'l}{2} + mm'ls} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} \frac{\Gamma((1-s + b_{\tilde{\pi}, \pi'}(i))/2)}{\Gamma((s + b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i))/2)} \\
&= \pi^{-\frac{mm'l}{2} + mm'ls} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} \frac{\Gamma((1-s + \bar{b}_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i))/2)}{\Gamma((s + b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i))/2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

For every fixed strip $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$ and uniformly growing positive $t > 1$, it is known by Stirling formula that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\sigma + it) &= c(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} t^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{it(\log t - 1)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \\
\Gamma(\sigma - it) &= \bar{c}(\sigma) e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}t} t^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-it(\log t - 1)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, let $s = \sigma + it$, $b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i) = u(i) + \sqrt{-1}v(i)$ i.e. $u(i) = \operatorname{Re} b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i)$, $v(i) = \operatorname{Im} b_{\pi, \tilde{\pi}'}(i)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
G(s) &\ll_{\sigma, N, K} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} \frac{|t + v(i)|^{\frac{1-\sigma+u(i)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}}{|t + v(i)|^{\frac{\sigma+u(i)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\ll_{\sigma, N, K} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} |t + v(i)|^{(1/2 - \sigma)},
\end{aligned}$$

for $t \notin S$, $S := \cup_i \{t \mid |t + v(i)| \leq 1\}$. It is apparent that $S \subseteq [-Q^{2N} - 1, Q^{2N} + 1]$ since

$$|v(i)| < \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} (1 + |v(i)|) \leq C(\pi, \tilde{\pi}') \ll Q^{m+m'}$$

by formula (5). Hence, for $1/2 - \sigma > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(s) &\ll_{\sigma, N, K} (1 + |t|)^{mm'l(1/2 - \sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{mm'l} (1 + |v(i)|)^{(1/2 - \sigma)} \\
&\ll_{\sigma, N, K} (1 + |t|)^{mm'l(1/2 - \sigma)} Q^{2N(1/2 - \sigma)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for $t \notin S$.

Denote the Mellin transform of $w(x)$ by

$$W(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} w(x) x^{s-1} dx$$

It is easily seen that $W(s)$ is an analytic function since the integrand $w(x)x^{s-1}$ decays exponentially as $x \rightarrow 0$ for any complex $s = \sigma + it$. Furthermore, we notice that for $\sigma < -1$,

$$W(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} w(x)x^{s-1}dx \ll_{A,\sigma} (|t|+1)^{-A}. \quad (8)$$

for any $A > 1$ by repeated partial summation, the parameters A and σ are independent of each other. By the Mellin inversion, we have

$$w(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} W(s)x^{-s}ds.$$

Hence we obtain the Perron summation formula as following,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n) w\left(\frac{n}{x}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}(n) \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} W(s) \left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{-s} ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} x^s W(s) L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where the interchange of summation and integral is provided by the absolute convergence on the line $\operatorname{Re} s = 2$.

The polynomial convexity bound of $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ can be easily obtained from RS1, RS2 and (7) by Phragmén-Lindelöf principle. Noticing the rapid decay of $W(s)$ (8), we can apply Cauchy theorem and RS3, i.e. $L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f)$ has no pole, to obtain that

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-H-i\infty}^{-H+i\infty} x^s W(s) L(s, \pi_f \times \tilde{\pi}'_f) ds.$$

for any $H > 0$ satisfying that $G(s)$ does not have poles at the line $\operatorname{Re} s = -H$. Using the functional equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-H)} x^s W(s) W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{1/2-s} G(s) L(1-s, \tilde{\pi}_f \times \pi'_f) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(-H)} x^s W(s) W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{1/2-s} G(s) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\tilde{\pi} \times \pi'}(n)}{n^{1-s}} \right) ds \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\tilde{\pi} \times \pi'}(n)}{n^{1+H}} \int_{(-H)} x^s W(s) W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{1/2-s} n^{it} G(s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where we can interchange the integral and the summation by the absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series and the rapid decay of $W(s)$ (8). Hence, by the

absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series $L\left(s, \tilde{\pi}_f \times \pi'_f\right)$ for $\operatorname{Re} s > 1$ and the upper bound (7) of $G(s)$, $S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}')$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\tilde{\pi} \times \pi'}(n)}{n^{1+H}} \int_{(-H)} x^s W(s) W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{1/2-s} n^{it} G(s) ds \\ & \ll_{H,N,K} \int_{(-H)} \left| x^s W(s) W_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'} q_{\pi \times \tilde{\pi}'}^{1/2-s} n^{it} G(s) \right| ds = \int_{t \in S} + \int_{t \notin S} \\ & \ll_{H,N,K} Q^{4N(1/2+H)} x^{-H}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

Proposition 5 *If $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{A}_N(Q)$ and $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{A}_N(Q)$ and $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for any $\tau_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') = O_{H,N,K} \left(Q^{4N(1/2+H)} x^{-H} \right), \quad (10)$$

Now, we can conclude

Proof of Theorem 3. If π, π' is not twisted-equivalent, i.e. $\pi' \not\cong \pi \otimes \alpha^{i\tau_0}$ for any $\tau_0 \neq 0$, then $\pi_v \not\cong \pi'_v$ for many finite places v . If $\pi, \pi' \in \mathcal{A}_N(Q)$, not twisted-equivalent and also $\pi \not\cong \pi'$, combined with (6) and (10), we deduce that

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}) - S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') \geq \frac{c}{2e^2} \frac{x^{1/N}}{\log x} + O_{H,N,K} \left(Q^{4N(1/2+H)} x^{-H} \right). \quad (11)$$

There exists a constant $C = C(H, N, K)$, such that if we take

$$x = C Q^{4N + \frac{2N}{H}},$$

then the main term is greater than the error term in the formula (11). Assume that $\pi_v \simeq \pi'_v$ for all finite places with norm $N(v) < 3x$, it is apparent that

$$S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}') - S(x; \pi, \tilde{\pi}) = 0.$$

There will be a contradiction by formula (11) and the choose of x . Therefore, Theorem 3 follows by taking H sufficiently large. ■

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Brumley for presenting me his final version of preprint. I am also happy to show my gratitude for the helpful conversation with Jianya Liu, Yangbo Ye, Chaohua Jia and Claus Bauer.

References

- [1] F. Brumley, Effective multiplicity one on $\operatorname{GL}(n)$ and narrow zero-free regions for Rankin-Selberg L-functions, *Amer. J. Math.* to appear, arXiv:math.NT/0306052. 2, 5, 6

- [2] W. Casselman, On some results of Atkin and Lehner, *Math. Ann.* **201** (1973), 301-314. 1
- [3] J. W. Cogdell, L -functions and converse theorems for GL_n , *IAS/PCMI Lecture notes*, available at www.math.okstate.edu/~cogdell. 3, 4
- [4] S. Gelbart and F. Shahidi, Boundedness of automorphic L -functions in vertical strips, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14** (2001), 79-107. 4
- [5] I. Gelfand, D. Kazhdan, representations of the group $GL(n, K)$ where K is a local field, in *Lie groups and their representations*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1975). 1
- [6] H. Iwaniec; P. Sarnak, Perspectives on the analytic theory of L -functions. *GAF* **2000** (Tel Aviv, 1999). *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **2000**, Special Volume, Part II, 705–741. 5
- [7] H. Jacquet and J.A. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations I, *Amer. J. Math.*, **103** (1981), 499-558. II, *Amer. J. Math.*, **103** (1981), 777-815. 1, 4
- [8] E. Landau, Über die Anzahl der Gitterpunkte in gewissen Bereichen (Part II), *Gött. Nachr.* (1915), 209-243. 2, 6
- [9] W. Luo, Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, On the generalized Ramanujan conjecture for $GL(n)$, *Automorphic Forms, Automorphic Representations, and Arithmetic, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 66, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 301–310. 3
- [10] C. Moeglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, Le spectre résiduel de $GL(n)$, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.*, (4) **22** (1989), 605-674. 4
- [11] C. J. Moreno, Analytic proof of the strong multiplicity one theorem, *Amer. J. Math.* **107** (1985), 163-206. 1, 2
- [12] R. Murty, Congruences between modular forms, *Analytic Number Theory (Kyoto, 1996)*, 309-320, *London. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser.*, 247, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, 1997 2
- [13] I. Piatetski-Shapiro, Euler subgroups, in *Lie groups and their representations*, John Wiley and Sons, New York (1975). 1
- [14] D. Ramakrishnan and Song Wang, On the exceptional zeros of Rankin-Selberg L -functions, *Composito Mathematica* **135** (2003), 211-244. 3, 5
- [15] P. Sarnak, Non-vanishing of L -functions on $\text{Re}(s) = 1$. *A Supplemental volume to the Amer. J. Math.*, *Contributions to Automorphic forms, Geometry and Number Theory: Shalika-fest 2002, 2003*. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004, pp. 719–732. 2

- [16] F. Shahidi, On certain L -functions, *Amer. J. Math.*, **103** (1981), 297-355.
4
- [17] F. Shahidi, Fourier transforms of intertwining operators and Plancherel measures for $GL(n)$, *Amer. J. Math.*, **106** (1984), 67-111. 4
- [18] F. Shahidi, Local coefficients as Artin factors for real groups, *Duke Math. J.*, **52** (1985), 973-1007. 4
- [19] F. Shahidi, A proof of Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures; Complementary series for p -adic groups, *Ann. Math.*, **132** (1990), 273-330. 4
- [20] J. Shalika, The multiplicity one theorem on $GL(n)$, *Ann. Math.* **100** (1974), 171-193. 1
- [21] Yonghui Wang, Claus Bauer, On the logarithmic zero-free regions of zeta and L -function, unpublished notes, available at <http://arithwsun.googlepages.com>.